To AI or to AI

That’s not a question.

Åben Forskerdag er en årlig tilbagevendende begivenhed, hvor **FORSKERE OG LEDERE** fra hele regionen og Syddansk Universitet mødes for at præsentere deres egen forskning og høre om de nyeste nationale og internationale tendenser indenfor sundhedsforskningen.

BUSINESS 27. maj kl. 12.05

Berlingske hjemsender medarbejder på grund af ai-opdigtede citater og kilder

Artikel om vismandsrapport indeholdt forkerte og opdigtede citater.

REF (Berlingske)



WE  DRUGS

Skill?

RWE-opfølgning: forventet konfidensinterval og power for HR

Eksponentialfordelt tid til event (konstant rate). Patienter indgår løbende i konstant tempo fra startdato til opfølgningsslut. Konfidensintervallet er det forventede, hvis den sande HR er lig trialens HR.

Udarbejdet af Henrik Støvring, PE, SDU. November 2026.

Startdato (første patient)
01/01/2027

Opfølgningsslut
01/01/2030

Eksponerede patienter pr. måned
10

Median tid til event, eksponerede (mdr.)
12

Forventet HR (eksponeret vs. komparator)
0.70

Komparatorer pr. eksponeret (k)
1

☐ Komparator = kendt referencerate (ingen samtidig gruppe)

Variansinflation pga. justering
1.0

Konfidensniveau
95 %

OPFØLGNING	FORVENTET 95 % CI VED SLUT	FORVENTEDE EVENTS	POWER VED SLUT	TID TIL 80 % POWER
36 mdr.	0,58–0,84	209 + 245	97 %	24,3 mdr.
jan. 2027 til jan. 2030	HR 0,70, SE(log HR) 0,094	Eksponerede + komparatorer; patienter 360 + 360	Tosidet test på 5 %-niveau	≈ jan. 2029

Forventet konfidensinterval for HR som funktion af opfølgningstid

Forventet HR-estimat Forventet konfidensinterval Stiplet linje: HR = 1 Intervallet er beskåret ved kanten af grafen



DiPP


Research idea
Protocol
Data extraction
Results
Communication

An assistant

Newly hired, hard-working, fast, not smart

Writing, information retrieval, summarizing, images

Never let it do something you cannot do

Check the output (thoroughly!)

Declare when you use it

Images should not be realistic

Suverænitet

Bias i træningsdata

Manglende træning

Plagiat

Klima

Rettigheder/IP

Deklarering

Overflødiggørelse

Aflæring

Pres/tvang om brug

Usikkerhed om output

Misinformationsboom


Verdens undergang

Videnskabens undergang

Kreativ udnyttelse


Selvbetaling

How much energy do data centers and artificial intelligence use?




REF (OurWorldInData)


Aflæring?



Strömberg et al. 2026

Aflæring?





REF (Lancet Gastro Hepat)

Writing assistant prompt — Pharmacoepidemiology, SDU

Who we are: I work in the Pharmacoepidemiology Research Unit at the Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Pharmacy and Environmental Medicine (CPPEM; in Danish: Klinisk Farmakologi, Farmaci og Miljømedicin, KFFM), University of Southern Denmark (SDU). We are [Vision statement here] We work in a Scandinavian academic setting. That shapes our tone: understated, direct, evidence-led, allergic to marketing language.

How we write: Aim for professional, concise, and accurate. Specifically: Short sentences. Plain words. If a sentence runs past one idea, split it. Explain things so a non-specialist can follow. Technical precision does not require technical jargon. No hype, no praise language, no "novel", "robust", "comprehensive", "leverages", "elucidates". State what was done and what it shows.

How I want the assistant to behave: Make a case rather than just complying. If you disagree, or see a better option, say so briefly and explain why. If I push back, push back again if you still think you are right. For any edit or rewrite, always tell me what you changed and why. Pick 1–3 patterns from the edit, name them, and give the underlying reason in one or two sentences. The goal is that I learn the pattern, not that I get a cleaner draft this once.

Asking counter-questions is welcomed, not a failure. If a request is ambiguous, if context is missing, or if the right answer depends on something I have not told you, ask. A short focused question up front beats a long answer in the wrong direction.

Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology

WILEY

EDITORIAL

Making Transparency and Reproducibility a Hallmark of Nordic Real-World Evidence

Anton Pottegård

Clinical Pharmacology, Pharmacy and Environmental Medicine, Department of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

Correspondence: Anton Pottegård (apottegaard@health.sdu.dk)

Received: 1 June 2026 | **Revised:** 4 June 2026 | **Accepted:** 9 June 2026

This paper contains a written version of my keynote address delivered at the joint Nordic Pharmacoepidemiology Network (NorPEN)/Nordic Conference of Epidemiology and Register-Based Health Research (NordicEpi) meeting, Tromsø, 2026.

I care deeply about how our field develops, how it is perceived and how we as real-world evidence researchers contribute to society. I will use these pages to argue something that I believe will be increasingly important to all of us: We need more transparency and reproducibility in real-world evidence generation. I will structure my argument around three questions: why, how, and what we do from here.

1 | Why?

That someone, here regulators, asks for a thing is a reason to provide it, but not necessarily a good one. I will try to give three better ones.

Åben Forskerdag er en årlig tilbagevendende begivenhed, hvor **FORSKERE OG LEDERE** fra hele regionen og Syddansk Universitet mødes for at præsentere deres egen forskning og høre om de nyeste nationale og internationale tendenser indenfor sundhedsforskningen.

FORSKERE

Forhold dig til det

Hvad virker for dig?

Opbyg din egen tutor

Er alle enige? Pas på!

Pres din leder

LEDERE

Lær selv at bruge det

Afdæk bekymringer

Faciliter samtalen

Afdelingsprompt

Pas på dine medarbejdere

Tak!

